



Atty Docket No: 116598-00113  
Response to Office Action dated July 27, 2006  
Application Serial No: 10/806,269

## REMARKS

### **I. INTRODUCTION**

Favorable reconsideration of this application, in light of the present amendments and following discussion, is respectfully requested.

### **II. STATUS OF THE CLAIMS**

Claims 1-23 are pending; Claims 1, 2, 10-12, 21, and 22 are active; and Claims 3-9, 13-20, and 23 stand withdrawn from consideration. Claims 1-5, 9, 12, and 21-23 are amended. No claims are newly added or canceled herewith. It is respectfully submitted that no new matter is added by this amendment, as support may be found, at least, in Figure 16.

### **III. SUMMARY OF THE OFFICE ACTION**

In the outstanding Office Action, Claims 1, 2, 10-12, 21, and 22 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as anticipated by *Primavera* (U.S. Patent Pub. No. 2002/0044423). For the reasons discussed below, this rejection is respectfully traversed.

### **IV. SUMMARY OF THE INTERVIEW AND ARGUMENTS**

Applicant thanks Examiner Pizarro-Crespo for the interview granted Applicant's representative on October 17, 2006. During the interview, the present amendments were discussed with regarding to *Primavera*.

In more detail, as agreed during the interview, *Primavera* does not disclose or suggest "at least one first conductive layer laminated to a first side of said flexible sheet and at least one second conductive layer laminated to a second side of said flexible sheet," as recited in independent Claims 1 and 23.

Accordingly, as *Primavera* does not disclose or suggest the features of Claim 1, from which Claims 2, 10-12, 21, and 22 depend, it is respectfully submitted that these claims patentably distinguish over *Primavera*. It is therefore respectfully requested that this rejection be withdrawn.

**V. GENERIC CLAIMS**

Applicant notes that Claim 1 is generic to presently withdrawn Claims 3-9 and 13. Accordingly, in the event that Claim 1 is allowed, it is respectfully submitted that Claims 3-9 and 13 should be rejoined for prosecution and allowed.

Likewise, in the event that Claim 1 is allowed, Claim 23 should also be rejoined and allowed.

**VI. CONCLUSION**

Consequently, in view of the foregoing discussion and present amendments, it is respectfully submitted that this application is in condition for allowance. An early and favorable action is therefore respectfully requested.

Atty Docket No: 116598-00113  
Response to Office Action dated July 27, 2006  
Application Serial No: 10/806,269

Please charge any shortage or credit any overpayment of fees to Deposit Account No. 23-2185 (116598.00113). In the event that a petition for an extension of time is required to be submitted herewith and in the event that a separate petition does not accompany this response, Applicant hereby petitions under 37 C.F.R. §1.36(a) for an extension of time for as many months as are required to render this submission timely. Any fee due is authorized above.

Respectfully submitted,

By: 

Peter S. Weissman  
Attorney for Applicant  
Registration No. 40,220

Date: Oct. 27, 2006

Customer No. 002779

BLANK ROME LLP

600 New Hampshire Avenue, N.W.

Washington, DC 20037

Telephone: 202-772-5800

Facsimile: 202-772-5858